

# NSSE 2022 Topical Module Report Experiences with Writing

University of New Hampshire at Durham

This module is the result of an ongoing collaboration between NSSE and the Council of Writing Program Administrators. The questions touch on three aspects of good writing assignments—interactivity, meaning-making, and clarity. It complements questions on the core survey about how much writing students do, the nature of their course assignments, and perceived gains in written expression.

Comparison group details are in the online Selected Comparison Groups report, linked in the Data & Reports table on the Institution Interface. A PDF copy is also saved in your report download folder.

This page intentionally left blank.



Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons University of New Hampshire at Durham

## **First-Year Students**

				Frequency Distributions <sup>a</sup>				Statistical Comparisons <sup>b</sup>			
	Variable tem wording or description name Values <sup>c</sup> Response options			UNH		Public Instns		UNH	Public Instns		
Item wording or description			Response options	Count	%	Count	%	Mean	Mean	Effect size <sup>d</sup>	
1. During the current school year. f	or how many	ı writina a	ssianments have you do	ne the follow	ing?						
a. Talked with a classmate, friend, or	WRI01a	1	No writing assignments	23	8	368	12				
family member to develop your		2	Few writing assignments	70	23	853	25				
ideas before starting your		3	Some writing assignments	120	38	1,188	36	3.0	2.8 *	.15	
assignment		4	Most writing assignments	71	24	738	22		Δ		
		5	All writing assignments	22	7	188	5				
			Total	306	100	3,335	100				
b. Received feedback from a	WRI01b	1	No writing assignments	12	4	395	12				
classmate, friend, or family		2	Few writing assignments	59	19	796	24				
member about a draft before turning in your final assignment		3	Some writing assignments	105	35	1,094	33	3.2	2.9 ***	.30	
		4	Most writing assignments	107	34	782	23		Δ		
		5	All writing assignments	22	7	253	7				
			Total	305	100	3,320	100				
c. Given feedback to a classmate	WRI01c	1	No writing assignments	10	4	474	14				
about a draft or outline		2	Few writing assignments	61	20	799	24				
		3	Some writing assignments	124	40	1,173	36	3.2	2.8 ***	.35	
		4	Most writing assignments	97	32	704	21				
		5	All writing assignments	14	5	175	5				
			Total	306	100	3,325	100				
d. Summarized material you read such	WRI01d	1	No writing assignments	8	3	203	7				
as articles, books, or online		2	Few writing assignments	51	17	565	17				
publications		3	Some writing assignments	122	40	1,166	36	3.2	3.2	.02	
		4	Most writing assignments	115	36	1,086	32				
		5	All writing assignments	10	3	300	8				
			Total	306	100	3,320	100				
e. Analyzed or evaluated something	WRI01e	1	No writing assignments	4	2	134	5				
you read, researched, or observed		2	Few writing assignments	38	12	375	12				
		3	Some writing assignments	93	31	975	29	3.5	3.5	.01	
		4	Most writing assignments	147	47	1,380	42				
		5	All writing assignments	24	8	453	13				
			Total	306	100	3,317	100				
f. Described your methods or findings	WRI01f	1	No writing assignments	49	15	549	16				
or field work, a survey project, etc.		2	Few writing assignments	67	22	674	20				
,		3	Some writing assignments	91	31	1,044	32	2.8	2.9	03	
		4	Most writing assignments	88	28	819	26				
		5	All writing assignments	12	4	224	6				
			Total	307	100	3,310	100				
<ul> <li>g. Argued a position using evidence and reasoning</li> </ul>	WRI01g	1	No writing assignments	17	6	247	8				
and reasoning		2	Few writing assignments	51	16	460	14				
		3	Some writing assignments	111	36	1,021	31	3.2	3.3	06	
		4	Most writing assignments	108	36	1,170	36				
		5	All writing assignments	20	7	410	11				
			Total	307	100	3,308	100				



Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons University of New Hampshire at Durham

#### **First-Year Students**

				Frequency Distributions <sup>a</sup>				Statistical C	atistical Comparisons <sup>b</sup>			
				UNH Public Instns		UNH	Public I	nstns				
	Variable									Effect		
Item wording or description	g or description name Values <sup> c</sup> Response o		Response options	Count	%	Count	%	Mean	Mean	size <sup>a</sup>		
h. Explained in writing the meaning of	WRI01h	1	No writing assignments	68	21	723	20					
numerical of statistical data		2	Few writing assignments	72	24	820	25					
		3	Some writing assignments	87	30	977	30	2.6	2.6	01		
		4	Most writing assignments	68	22	613	20					
			All writing assignments	11	4	172	5					
			Total	306	100	3,305	100					
i. Written in the style and format of a	WRI01i	1	No writing assignments	49	16	575	18					
specific field (engineering, history,		2	Few writing assignments	62	20	665	21					
psychology, etc.)		3	Some writing assignments	83	28	963	29	2.9	2.9	.07		
		4	Most writing assignments	85	27	795	24					
		5	All writing assignments	28	9	297	8					
			Total	307	100	3,295	100					
j. Addressed a real or imagined	WRI01j	1	No writing assignments	67	20	561	17					
audience such as your classmates, a		2	Few writing assignments	68	23	706	21					
politician, non-experts, etc.		3	Some writing assignments	89	29	1,023	32	2.7	2.8	- 11		
		4	Most writing assignments	66	22	742	23					
		5	All writing assignments	17	5	264	7					
			Total	307	100	3,296	100					
2 During the current school year fr	or how mar	w of your w	uriting assignments have	your instruct	ore de	ono tho follo	wing?					
a Provided clear instructions	WRI02a	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	No writing assignments	3	1	100	3					
describing what they wanted you to	W 1024	1	Fow writing assignments	10	6	270	0					
do		2	Some writing assignments	15	21	270	24	2.0				
		5	Some writing assignments	150	21	1 294	42	3.9	3.7 **	.15		
		4	Niost writing assignments	152	49	1,364	45		Δ			
		5	All writing assignments	68	23	745	22					
			Total	307	100	3,296	100					
b. Explained in advance what they wanted you to learn	WRI02b	1	No writing assignments	5	2	139	4					
wanted you to team		2	Few writing assignments	37	11	409	13					
		3	Some writing assignments	88	28	991	31	3.6	3.5 *	.13		
		4	Most writing assignments	120	39	1,150	34		Δ			
		5	All writing assignments	57	19	614	18					
			Total	307	100	3,303	100					
c. Explained in advance the criteria	WRI02c	1	No writing assignments	6	2	101	4					
they would use to grade your		2	Few writing assignments	18	6	278	9					
assignment		3	Some writing assignments	64	21	756	23	3.9	3.8	.10		
		4	Most writing assignments	137	45	1,218	36					
		5	All writing assignments	83	27	951	29					
			Total	308	100	3,304	100					



Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons University of New Hampshire at Durham

#### **Seniors**

				Frequency Distributions <sup>a</sup>			Statistical Comparisons <sup>b</sup>			
		UNH			Public Inst	ns	UNH	Public Instns		
Variable Item wording or description name Values <sup>c</sup>		Response options	Count	%	Count	%	Mean	Mean	Effect size <sup>d</sup>	
1. During the current school year,	for how many	writing a	ssignments have you do	ne the follow	ing?					
a. Talked with a classmate, friend, or	WRI01a	1	No writing assignments	40	9	477	12			
family member to develop your		2	Few writing assignments	120	26	941	25			
assignment		3	Some writing assignments	179	38	1,403	34	2.9	2.9	.02
		4	Most writing assignments	114	23	863	22			
		5	All writing assignments	21	4	279	7			
			Total	474	100	3,963	100			
b. Received feedback from a	WRI01b	1	No writing assignments	49	11	625	15			
classmate, friend, or family		2	Few writing assignments	122	27	982	25			
turning in your final assignment		3	Some writing assignments	167	35	1,230	31	2.8	2.8	.03
6,		4	Most writing assignments	115	23	839	21			
		5	All writing assignments	18	4	267	7			
			Total	471	100	3,943	100			
c. Given feedback to a classmate	WRI01c	1	No writing assignments	48	10	751	18			
about a draft or outline		2	Few writing assignments	136	29	1,002	25			
		3	Some writing assignments	189	40	1,327	34	2.7	2.7	.07
		4	Most writing assignments	92	19	691	17			
		5	All writing assignments	9	2	199	5			
			Total	474	100	3,970	100			
d. Summarized material you read such	WRI01d	1	No writing assignments	18	4	269	7			
as articles, books, or online		2	Few writing assignments	67	15	566	15			
publications		3	Some writing assignments	157	34	1,300	33	3.3	3.3	.02
		4	Most writing assignments	193	39	1,341	34			
		5	All writing assignments	38	8	480	12			
			Total	473	100	3,956	100			
e. Analyzed or evaluated something	WRI01e	1	No writing assignments	13	3	164	4			
you read, researched, or observed		2	Few writing assignments	40	9	381	9			
		3	Some writing assignments	120	26	1,013	26	3.6	3.6	03
		4	Most writing assignments	239	50	1,650	42			
		5	All writing assignments	58	12	747	19			
			Total	470	100	3,955	100			
f. Described your methods or findings	WRI01f	1	No writing assignments	49	10	504	12			
related to data you collected in lab		2	Few writing assignments	94	20	714	18			
or field work, a survey project, etc.		3	Some writing assignments	143	30	1,143	29	3.1	3.1	05
		4	Most writing assignments	152	32	1,151	30			
		5	All writing assignments	34	7	434	11			
			Total	472	100	3,946	100			
g. Argued a position using evidence	WRI01g	1	No writing assignments	35	8	417	10			
and reasoning		2	Few writing assignments	68	15	606	15			
		3	Some writing assignments	159	34	1,155	30	3.3	3.2	.01
		4	Most writing assignments	157	32	1,226	31			
		5	All writing assignments	53	12	544	14			
			Total	472	100	3,948	100			
									4	



## Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons University of New Hampshire at Durham

#### **Seniors**

				Frequency Distributions <sup>a</sup>				Statistical Comparisons <sup>b</sup>			
			UNH		Public Inst	ins	UNH	Public Instns			
	Variable									Effect	
Item wording or description	name	Values <sup>c</sup>	Response options	Count	%	Count	%	Mean	Mean	size <sup>d</sup>	
h. Explained in writing the meaning of	WRI01h	1	No writing assignments	57	12	652	15				
numerical or statistical data		2	Few writing assignments	104	22	789	19				
		3	Some writing assignments	148	31	1,213	31	3.0	3.0	.01	
			Most writing assignments	125	27	960	27				
		5	All writing assignments	36	8	334	9				
			Total	470	100	3,948	100				
i. Written in the style and format of a	WRI01i	1	No writing assignments	29	6	484	11				
specific field (engineering, history,		2	Few writing assignments	64	14	541	13				
psychology, etc.)		3	Some writing assignments	96	21	964	25	3.6	3.4 ***	.17	
		4	Most writing assignments	178	37	1,176	31		Δ		
		5	All writing assignments	106	22	775	20				
			Total	473	100	3,940	100				
j. Addressed a real or imagined	WRI01j	1	No writing assignments	88	19	683	17				
audience such as your classmates, a		2	Few writing assignments	116	25	826	21				
politician, non-experts, etc.		3	Some writing assignments	152	32	1,182	30	2.6	29 ***	- 19	
		4	Most writing assignments	99	21	851	21		$\nabla$	,	
		5	All writing assignments	15	3	400	10		•		
			Total	470	100	3,942	100				
2 During the current school year fr	or how many		writing assignments have	your instruct	ors de	one the follo	wing?				
a Provided clear instructions	WRI02a	1	No writing assignments	8	2	123	3				
describing what they wanted you to	W RIOZu	2	Few writing assignments	26	5	300	8				
do		2	Some writing assignments	104	22	91 <i>4</i>	21	20	• •		
		3	Most writing assignments	257	23 52	1 660	42	3.8	3.8	03	
		+	All writing assignments	251	16	1,000	45				
		3	All writing assignments	/0	100	1,044	25				
			lotal	4/3	100	3,941	100				
b. Explained in advance what they wanted you to learn	WRI02b	1	No writing assignments	17	4	174	4				
walled you to fearly		2	Few writing assignments	53	11	429	12				
		3	Some writing assignments	146	32	993	26	3.5	3.6 *	10	
		4	Most writing assignments	190	40	1,385	36		$\nabla$		
		5	All writing assignments	67	14	957	23				
			Total	473	100	3,938	100				
c. Explained in advance the criteria	WRI02c	1	No writing assignments	10	2	144	4				
they would use to grade your assignment		2	Few writing assignments	28	6	268	7				
assignment		3	Some writing assignments	112	24	797	21	3.8	3.9	05	
		4	Most writing assignments	205	43	1,416	37				
		5	All writing assignments	116	24	1,316	32				
			Total	471	100	3,941	100				



## **Detailed Statistics**<sup>e</sup> **University of New Hampshire at Durham**

#### **First-Year Students**

						Stan	dard			Effect
	Ν	Mean		Standar	r <b>d error</b> <sup>f</sup>	devia	ation <sup>g</sup>	DF <sup>h</sup>	Sig. <sup>i</sup>	size <sup>d</sup>
Variable								Comp	arisons with:	
name	UNH	UNH	Public Instns	UNH	Public Instns	UNH	Public Instns	Public Instns		
WRI01a	308	2.99	2.83	.059	.019	1.03	1.06	377	.013	.15
WRI01b	306	3.22	2.89	.056	.020	0.98	1.11	392	.000	.30
WRI01c	308	3.15	2.78	.052	.020	0.91	1.08	402	.000	.35
WRI01d	307	3.20	3.18	.049	.019	0.86	1.03	404	.645	.02
WRI01e	307	3.48	3.46	.049	.019	0.86	1.01	400	.817	.01
WRI01f	308	2.83	2.86	.064	.021	1.12	1.15	3,234	.643	03
WRI01g	308	3.22	3.28	.056	.020	0.98	1.09	392	.316	06
WRI01h	307	2.64	2.65	.065	.021	1.14	1.15	3,230	.909	01
WRI01i	308	2.94	2.85	.069	.022	1.21	1.21	3,221	.231	.07
WRI01j	308	2.70	2.83	.067	.022	1.18	1.18	3,224	.073	11
WRI02a	308	3.86	3.71	.050	.019	0.88	1.00	396	.006	.15
WRI02b	308	3.63	3.49	.056	.020	0.98	1.07	388	.019	.13
WRI02c	309	3.88	3.78	.053	.020	0.94	1.06	397	.067	.10



## **Detailed Statistics**<sup>e</sup> University of New Hampshire at Durham

#### **Seniors**

						Stan	dard			Effect
	Ν	Mean		Standar	r <b>d error</b> <sup>f</sup>	devia	ition <sup>g</sup>	DF <sup>h</sup>	Sig. <sup>i</sup>	size <sup>d</sup>
Variable								Comp	arisons with:	
name	UNH	UNH	Public Instns	UNH	Public Instns	UNH	Public Instns	Pu	Public Instns	
WRI01a	470	2.89	2.86	.046	.018	1.01	1.10	610	.650	.02
WRI01b	467	2.82	2.79	.048	.018	1.03	1.14	610	.576	.03
WRI01c	470	2.73	2.65	.044	.018	0.95	1.11	634	.112	.07
WRI01d	469	3.32	3.29	.044	.017	0.96	1.06	615	.593	.02
WRI01e	466	3.59	3.62	.042	.016	0.92	1.02	609	.446	03
WRI01f	468	3.05	3.11	.051	.019	1.11	1.17	599	.336	05
WRI01g	468	3.25	3.25	.050	.019	1.09	1.17	603	.902	.01
WRI01h	466	2.98	2.96	.053	.019	1.14	1.18	4,392	.828	.01
WRI01i	469	3.56	3.36	.053	.020	1.15	1.24	606	.000	.17
WRI01j	466	2.65	2.87	.051	.019	1.11	1.22	4,389	.000	19
WRI02a	470	3.77	3.80	.040	.016	0.86	1.00	632	.427	03
WRI02b	470	3.50	3.61	.046	.017	0.99	1.09	613	.021	10
WRI02c	468	3.82	3.87	.044	.017	0.95	1.05	612	.241	05



#### Endnotes

#### University of New Hampshire at Durham

#### **Endnotes**

- a. Column percentages are weighted by institution-reported sex and enrollment status (and institution size for comparison groups). Percentages may not sum to 100 due to rounding. Counts are unweighted; column percentages cannot be replicated from counts. Comparison group details are in the Selected Comparison Groups report, linked in the Data & Reports table on the Institution Interface.
- b. All statistics are weighted by institution-reported sex and enrollment status (and institution size for comparison groups). Unless otherwise noted, statistical comparisons are two-tailed independent t-tests. Items with categorical response sets are left blank.
- c. These are the values used to calculate means. For the majority of items, these values match the codes in the data file and codebook.
- d. Effect size for independent t- tests uses Cohen's d; z- tests use Cohen's h.
- e. Statistics are weighted by institution-reported sex and enrollment status (and institution size for comparison groups). Categorical items are not listed.
- f. The 95% confidence interval for the population mean is equal to the sample mean plus or minus 1.96 times the standard error of the mean.
- g. A measure of the amount individual scores deviate from the mean of all the scores in the distribution.
- h. Degrees of freedom used to compute the t-tests. Values differ from Ns due to weighting and whether equal variances were assumed.
- i. Statistical comparisons are two-tailed independent *t*-tests or *z*-tests. Statistical significance represents the probability that the difference between your students' mean and that of the students in the comparison group is due to chance.
- j. Statistical comparison uses *z* test to compare the proportion who responded (depending on the item) "Done or in progress" or "Yes" with all who responded otherwise.
- k. Mean represents the proportion who responded (depending on the item) "Done or in progress" or "Yes."

#### Key to symbols:

- **Your students' average** was significantly higher (p < .05) with an effect size at least .3 in magnitude.
- $\triangle$  Your students' average was significantly higher (p < .05) with an effect size less than .3 in magnitude.
- $\nabla$  Your students' average was significantly lower (p < .05) with an effect size less than .3 in magnitude.
- **Your students' average** was significantly lower (p < .05) with an effect size at least .3 in magnitude.

Note: It is important to interpret the direction of differences relative to item wording and your institutional context. You may not see all of these symbols in your report.